

Agronomic characteristics and yield of corn after inoculation with *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens*

Ariana Vieira Silva¹, Thiago Cardoso de Oliveira², Taís Carolina Franqueira de Toledo Sartori³, Jaqueline de Melo Gonçalves⁴, Natalia Costa⁵, Bruno César Moreira Scalli⁶

¹Instituto Federal de Educação, Ciência e Tecnologia do Sul de Minas Gerais, Campus Muzambinho, IFSULDEMINAS, researcher professor. e-mail: ariana. silva@muz.ifsuldeminas.edu.br

² Thiago Cardoso de Oliveira. Instituto Federal do Sul de Minas Gerais, Campus Muzambinho, IFSULDEMINAS, collaborating professor. e-mail thiagocardoso@agronomo.eng.br

³ Instituto Federal do Sul de Minas Gerais, Campus Muzambinho, IFSULDEMINAS, researcher professor. e-mail tais.toledo@muz.ifsuldeminas.edu.br

⁴Instituto Federal de Educação, Ciência e Tecnologia do Sul de Minas Gerais, Campus Muzambinho, IFSULDEMINAS, graduated in Agricultural Engineering. e-mail: jaquelinemelo5453@gmail.com

⁵ Instituto Federal de Educação, Ciência e Tecnologia do Sul de Minas Gerais, Campus Muzambinho, IFSULDEMINAS, graduated in Agricultural Engineering. e-mail: nataliacrocga.sd@gmail.com

⁶ Instituto Federal de Educação, Ciência e Tecnologia do Sul de Minas Gerais, Campus Muzambinho, IFSULDEMINAS, graduated in Agricultural Engineering. e-mail: brunoscalli@gmail.com

Received in: 02/06/2022 Accepted in: 08/12//2022

Abstract

Corn is one of the most important crops in Brazil and, due to its physiological characteristics, it has a high productive potential and high nutritional quality, for animal and human nutrition. Therefore, this work sought to evaluate *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* subsp. *plantarum* FZB42 on agronomic characteristics and yield of corn crop. A randomized block design was used, with six doses of *B. amyloliquefaciens* subsp. *Plantarum* FZB42 (0.0; 2.5; 5.0; 7.5; 10.0, and 12.5 mL kg⁻¹seeds) and four repetitions, totaling 24 experimental units. The study was conducted in the experimental area of annual crops of the Instituto Federal de Educação, Ciência e Tecnologia do Sul de Minas Gerais (Federal Institute of Education, Science and Technology of the South of Minas Gerais — IFSULDEMINAS), Muzambinho Campus, in the 2017/18 agricultural year. The agronomic evaluations were: plant height, upper ear insertion height, culm diameter, leaf nitrogen content, forage fresh mass, incidence of *Fusarium* in the ear, number of rows per ear, number of grains per row, mass of 1000 grains, and yield. The nutritional evaluations performed were: crude protein, ash, ether extract, crude fiber, fiber in acid detergent, and fiber in neutral detergent. In summary, the inoculation of *B. amyloliquefaciens* subsp. *Plantarum* FZB42 in corn seeds, regardless of the dose applied, did not interfere with the agronomic characteristics and nutritional quality of corn silage.

Keywords: Bacillus amyloliquefaciens. Inoculation. Zea mays L. Nutrition.

Introduction

Among the cereals grown in Brazil, corn (*Zea mays* L.) is the most expressive in production. According to Conab (2022), the 2021/22 harvest was 20.2% higher in production than the previous one, totaling 113,272.1 thousand tons, with an area of 21,581.9 thousand hectares, referring to the sum of the first, second, and third harvests.

Furthermore, the culture of fodder corn has great importance for micro-regions prominent in bovine farming, especially for milk production, because of its excellent characteristics for silage and for having great digestibility and palatability index (PONTES, 2014). In the agricultural context, reducing the use of chemicals or using them better within the production system has gained prominence. Thus, bacteria with biotechnological abilities have been attracting attention for fitting into a model of sustainable agriculture, which, besides yield, is also concerned with environmental conservation (FIGUEIREDO et al., 2010).

The use of root colonizing bacteria with plant growth-promoting activity showed to be an efficient and environmentally friendly alternative to pesticides and chemical fertilizers (QIAO et al., 2014). *B. amyloliquefaciens* strains associated with those belonging to subsp. *plantarum* stand out for their ability to colonize the plant rhizosphere, stimulate plant growth, and

suppress competitive phytopathogenic bacteria and fungi (QIAO et al., 2014).

Bacteria of the genus *Bacillus* are grampositive and can be aerobic, facultative, or anaerobic, in addition to being heat resistant. Most of them have simple nutritional needs, requiring some amino acids and B-complex vitamins as growth factors (STANIER; DUODOROFF; ADELBERG, 1969). They form endospores (resistance structures) and have the ability to produce antibiotics (FREITAS; PIZZINATTO, 1997). The formation of endospores increases resistance to adverse factors. Thus, they can be stored as inoculants for a longer period and have longer permanence in the soil (PETRAS; CASIDA, 1985).

The species of *B. amyloliquefaciens* FZB42 is type *B. amyloliquefaciens* subsp. *plantarum* (BORRISS et al., 2011). This strain has some well-characterized agronomic abilities, such as the production of indolic compounds (SZILAGYI-ZECCHIN et al., 2015; IDRIS et al., 2007), siderophores (SZILAGYI-ZECCHIN et al., 2015; CHEN et al., 2007), and phytase (IDRIS et al., 2002). However, it does not produce 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylase (ACC) deaminase (CUARTAS, 2010) and does not fix nitrogen, as it lacks the genes referring to this characteristic (CHEN et al., 2007).

Therefore, the objective was to evaluate *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* subsp. *plantarum* FZB42 on agronomic characteristics and yield of the corn crop.

Material and methods

The study was conducted at the Instituto Federal de Educação, Ciência e Tecnologia do Sul de Minas Gerais (Federal Institute of Education, Science, and Technology of the South of Minas Gerais — IFSULDEMINAS), Muzambinho Campus, in the 2017/2018 agricultural year. The experimental area has typical Dystrophic Red Yellow Latosol (SANTOS et al., 2018) and is located at an altitude of 1020 m, latitude of 21°22'33" South, and longitude of 46°31'32" West. The predominant climatic classification of the region is a tropical climate of altitude. According to Köppen (1948), this climate is characterized by its rainy summer and more or less dry winter. The average temperature and the average annual rainfall are 21.37°C and 1,600 mm, respectively (APARECIDO et al., 2014).

The experimental design used was a randomized block design, with six doses of *B. amyloliquefaciens* subsp. *plantarum* FZB42 (0.0; 2.5; 5.0; 7.5; 10.0, and 12.5 mL kg⁻¹ seeds) with four repetitions, totaling 24 experimental units. For this purpose, we selected the transgenic corn simple hybrid 2B587RR, double aptitude, precocious, with semi-double yellow-orange grains, tolerant to the herbicide molecule glyphosate, and with a population of 80 thousand plants ha⁻¹.

The experimental plots had six lines 5.0 m long by 3.6 m wide, spaced at 0.6 m from each other, considering that the total area of each experimental plot was 18.0 m^2 and, of the four central lines, two were used for silage evaluation and two for grain.

Initially, in September 2017, soil sampling of the experimental field was conducted to characterize its fertility (Table 1). In October, the soil was prepared in a conventional way to receive the seeds. In November, forage corn seeds were inoculated with the bacterium *B. amyloliquefaciens* subsp. *plantarum* FZB42 at the time of sowing.

At seeding, 500 kg ha⁻¹ of the 04-14-08 formulation and 138 kg ha⁻¹ of KCI were used after the recommendation. In cover, 26 days after seeding (DAS), at the V4 stage, when plants with four visible and expanded leaves were identified, 700 kg ha⁻¹ of ammonium sulfate (20%) was used.

Depth	рΗ	Р	Κ	AI	Са	Mg	H+AI	SB	Т	P-rem	V	М	M.O.
	water	mg/o	dm³			– – cm	olc/dm³ ·			mg/L		%	dag/kg
0-20 cm	6.32	42.9	157	0.00	5.55	1.25	1.84	7.2	9.0	17.5	79.6	0	2.23

Table 1 - Chemical attributes of the soil, at a depth of 0-20 cm, before the sowing of the experiment. Muzambinho- MG, agricultural year 2017/18.

Extraction methods: pH: water; M.O.: S. Sulfurous; P, K, Cu, Fe, Mn, Zn: Mehlich-I; P-rem: CaCl₂; Ca, Mg, Al: KCl; H+Al: SMP buffer; B: hot water.

Weed control was performed with weeding at 15 DAS and with the post-emergence herbicide nicosulfuron at a dose of 1.25 L ha⁻¹ of the commercial product, at 28 DAS. Phytosanitary management was performed with two applications of insecticides, the first at 16 DAS with the insecticide thiamethoxam + lambda-cyhalothrin at a dose of 250 mL ha⁻¹ of the commercial product, and the second at 37 DAS with chlorpyrifos at a dose of 1 L ha⁻¹ of the commercial product.

At the time of male flowering of corn plants, VT phase (FANCELLI, 2015), ten plants were marked in the useful area of each experimental plot for the following evaluations: plant height (HEI; cm), measured with a graduated ruler from the neck of the plant to the insertion of the flag leaf; upper ear insertion height (INSHEI; cm), measured with a graduated ruler from the neck of the plant to its insertion; culm diameter (CD; mm), measured with a digital pachymeter on the second internode from bottom to top; leaf nitrogen (N) content (LNC; g kg⁻¹), determined by collecting the whole leaf, opposite and below the upper ear, removing its central vein, drying it in an oven and grounding in a Willey mill, and subsequently chemically analyzing to determine the leaf N content (MALAVOLTA; VITTI; OLIVEIRA, 1989).

The corn hybrid was harvested as forage in two useful rows of 5.0 m at 114 DAS, cut at 20 cm from the soil, at the stage between R4 and R5 (FANCELLI, 2015), i.e., when the grains were between mealy and mealy-hard. The total whole plants of each plot were weighed to determine the forage fresh mass (FFM) in t ha⁻¹, then ground in a tractor-driven silage machine into particles 5 to 6 cm long. After homogenization of the ground total, each plot was ensiled on the same day of harvest in mini silos made from PVC tubes 50 cm long and 100 mm in diameter. The ensiled samples were compacted, the tubes sealed, and stored in the shade for 45 days for the silage fermentation process to take place.

The physical-chemical analyses were performed in triplicate in the Bromatology and Water Laboratory of the IFSULDEMINAS, Muzambinho Campus. The 105°C moisture (U105) was determined according to the gravimetric technique, using heat in a ventilated oven at a temperature of 105°C, with sporadic checks until a constant mass was obtained, according to AOAC (2016); crude protein (CP), to determine the nitrogen content by distillation in a Microkjedahl apparatus (AOAC, 2016), using the factor 6.25 to calculate the crude protein content; ash (ASH), fixed mineral material or ash fraction, determined gravimetrically by evaluating the mass loss of the material subjected to heating at 550°C in a muffle furnace (AOAC, 2016); ether extract (EE) extracted by the Goldfish method (AOAC, 2016); crude fiber (CF) by the gravimetric method after acid hydrolysis (KAMER; GINKEL, 1952); fiber in acid detergent (FAD) and fiber in neutral detergent (FND) determined by the gravimetric method by SILVA (1990).

At the R6 stage, characterized as physiological maturity (FANCELLI, 2015), the ears of six plants in each of the two useful rows were harvested, i.e., the ears of 12 plants per plot, when the grains contained approximately 18 to 21% moisture for the following evaluations: number of rows per ear (NROW); the number of grains per ear (NGRAINS); the mass of 1000 grains in g (M1000), obtained from the total of grains originating from the threshing of all ears from the 12 plants in each plot, with four samples taken at random, which were submitted to weighing; and grain yield (PROD; t ha⁻¹).

When harvesting the ears, the rot severity was evaluated. The severity was evaluated by a diagrammatic scale that estimates the percentage of the ear area with symptoms of rot (*Fusarium*), characterized by coverage with white to pinkish mycelium and the presence of darkened grains and/or white streaks on the pericarp. This scale comprises seven grades, being 1 = 0% — the area of the ear without symptoms —, 2 = 1 to 3%, 3 = 4 to 10%, 4 = 11 to 25%, 5 = 26 to 50%, 6 = 51 to 75%, and 7 = 76 to 100% of the ear area exhibiting visible infection symptoms (AFOLABI et al., 2007).

The data collected were submitted to variance analysis using an *F*-test. The means were compared to each other using the Tukey's test (5%) using the program SISVAR[®] version 5.3 (FERREIRA, 2011).

Results and discussion

Table 2 shows that there was no significant response to the different doses used of *B. amyloliquefaciens* subsp. *plantarum* FZB42 for the parameters evaluated: plant height, the height of insertion of the upper ear, stem diameter, leaf N content, and fresh silage mass.

For all doses, the corn hybrid used grew in height above its characterization, which is 205 cm (Table 2), presented by Pereira Filho and Borghi (2020). In the study conducted by Szilagyi-Zecchin et al. (2015), in an *in vitro* assay with tomato seedlings inoculated with *B. amyloliquefaciens* subsp. *plantarum* FZB42, plant growth was verified. Gaspareto (2018) shows that *B. amyloliquefaciens* increases calcium extraction, which is the chemical element responsible for cell wall formation.

The result of the upper ear insertion height is directly related to growth, which is defined by the optimal levels of acetic indole acid (auxins) produced by the plant and by the ability to absorb water and nutrients. However, Pereira Filho and Borghi (2020) showed the opposite for the upper ear insertion height, which is characterized as 105 cm, but our values were lower, even with higher plant heights (Table 2).

Table 2 – Height (HEI; cm), ear insertion height (INSHEI; cm), culm diameter (CD; mm), leaf N content (LNC; g kg¹), and forage fresh mass (FFM; t ha⁻¹) of corn as a function of different doses of *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* subsp. *plantarum* FZB42. Muzambinho – MG, 2017/18.

Dose (mL kg ⁻¹ seed)	HEI (cm)	INSHEI (cm)	CD (mm)	LNC (g kg ⁻¹)	FFM (t ha ⁻¹)
0.0	231.95	92.30	27.38	34.40	26.50
2.5	226.50	92.40	27.45	33.83	24.05
5.0	233.03	94.65	28.18	33.63	27.95
7.5	241.58	95.38	28.25	34.80	27.85
10.0	233.23	96.08	28.45	34.53	28.33
12.5	231.33	97.63	28.88	34.48	27.20
F (%)	0.5245 ^{ns}	0.7289 ^{ns}	0.2703 ^{ns}	0.5172 ^{ns}	0.9362 ^{ns}
CV (%)	4.51	5.91	3.47	2.81	23.59

Means followed by the same letter do not differ among themselves by Tukey test at 5% probability; ns Not significant.

Studies by Balbinot (2018) using *Bacillus* spp., showed that this genus can directly influence culm diameter; however, when associated with split nitrogen fertilization, which was not the case in our study, it results in similar culm diameters regardless of the dose used (Table 2).

The values found for leaf nitrogen content were similar (Table 2) and within the adequate range (27–35 g kg⁻¹) according to Cantarella, Raij, and Camargo (1997). Gaspareto (2018) also found no difference in leaf nitrogen content between inoculated and non-inoculated treatments with different Bacillus species. Forage fresh mass (Table 2) showed low values, because, according to Valente et al. (1991), this should be above 30 t ha⁻¹.

The data in Table 3, such as *Fusarium* severity on the ears, number of rows on the ears, number of grains per row, 1000 grain mass, and grain yield, shows no statistical difference between the doses evaluated.

Regardless of the *B. amyloliquefaciens* dose used, *Fusarium* failed to show differentiation for the control of rot, persisting in all doses in the evaluated grains (Table 3), disagreeing with that observed by Ferreira et al. (2021), which affirms that the use of *Bacillus* spp. is promising for the corn crop in *Fusarium* control. Table 3 shows no difference in the number of grain rows in relation to the doses of *B. amyloliquefaciens* subsp. *plantarum* FZB42 used. Oliveira Junior (2021) observed no gain in the number of corn grain rows when using *B. amyloliquefaciens*, which was also verified by Ferreira and Lange (2018), evaluating the efficiency of *B. amyloliquefaciens* application via foliar and in the planting furrow.

The number of grains per row had no interference with the *B. amyloliquefaciens* subsp. *plantarum* FZB42 used, differently from that observed by Oliveira Júnior (2021) and Ferreira and Lange (2018). Andreotti et al. (2008) found that seed inoculation with other bacteria of the genus Bacillus increased the thousand-grain mass. In contrast, Ferreira and Lange (2018) observed an increase, but with *B. amyloliquefaciens* applied via foliar and in the planting furrow, as in our study (Table 3).

As for grain yield, the treatments did not differ from each other (Table 3). Studies by Lima et al. (2011) concluded that inoculating corn seeds with bacteria of the genus *Bacillus* improves plant development and increases grain yield, which was not found in the application of *B. amyloliquefaciens* via foliar and in the planting furrow by Ferreira and Lange (2018).

Table 3 – Notes for the severity of *Fusarium* on the ear (FUSARIUM), number of rows per ear (NROW), number of grains per ear (NGRAIN), the mass of 1000 grains (M1000G; g), and grain yield (PROD; t ha⁻¹) of corn as a function of the different doses of *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* subsp. *plantarum* FZB42. Muzambinho – MG, 2017/18.

Dose (mL kg ⁻¹ seed)	FUSARIUM (%)	NROW	NGRAINS	M1000G (g)	PROD (t ha ⁻¹)
0.0	1.32	16.63	30.10	370.50	12.16
2.5	2.41	16.45	26.50	335.15	9.68
5.0	3.47	17.25	30.50	352.98	12.54
7.5	1.02	16.38	29.93	422.18	14.00
10.0	3.78	17.10	30.53	365.45	12.87
12.5	1.05	16.25	28.15	344.93	10.59
F (%)	0.3187 ^{ns}	0.4879 ^{ns}	0.8156 ^{ns}	0.2916 ^{ns}	0.2751 ^{ns}
CV (%)	100.09	5.08	16.74	14.42	22.17

Means followed by the same letter do not differ among themselves by Tukey test at 5% probability; ns Not significant.

After analyzing the bromatological characteristics, we found no statistical difference between the doses of *B. amyloliquefaciens* subsp. *plantarum* FZB42 (Table 4).

According to Marcondes et al. (2012), the appropriate values for crude protein are between 7 and 10%, thus in all treatments the values are not appropriate, being lower and statistically equal regardless of the used dose of *B. amyloliquefaciens* subsp. *plantarum* FZB42 (Table 4).

The ash contents obtained in our study were similar among the different doses employed (Table 4), and are not within the desired, according to studies conducted by Assis et al. (2014), which range from 4.02 to 4.62%.

According to the NRC (2001), the ether extract contents in ruminant feed should not exceed 7%, as a decrease in rumen fermentation, fiber digestibility, and feed passage rate may occur. Thus, the contents of ether extract obtained in our study are adequate for all doses that did not differ (Table 4). But the studies of Marcondes et al. (2012), show that the appropriate values for the ether extract are from 2 to 5%, which was obtained in the control, and the doses of 10.0 and 12.5 mL kg⁻¹ seed of *B. amyloliquefaciens* subsp. *plantarum* FZB42 used.

Ensminger, Oldfield, and Heinemann (1990) indicate average values of 24.5% for crude fiber (CF), which were higher than those of our study, which were statistically equal (Table 4). Values above 60% of FND, according to Van Soest (1994), correlate negatively with consumption, whereas values of FAD above 35% reduce digestibility. Thus, the values of FAD and FND obtained in this study are suitable for animal consumption (Table 4), as they were lower than these in all treatments, which did not differ from each other (Table 4). According to data from Pereira (2016), the values considered ideal for this FND should be from 45 to 52% of dry matter, only verified in the dose of 2.5 mL kg⁻¹ seed of B. amyloliquefaciens subsp. plantarum FZB42 used (Table 4).

Conclusions

The inoculation of *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* subsp. *plantarum* FZB42 on corn seed regardless of the dose applied did not interfere with agronomic characteristics, grain yield, or nutritional quality of corn silage.

Acknowledgments

We thank IFSULDEMINAS, Muzambinho Campus, for the structural, financial, and

Table 4 – Crude protein (CP; %), ash (ASH; %), ether extract (EE; %), crude fiber (CF; %), the fiber in neutral detergent (FND; %), and fiber in acid detergent (FAD; %) of corn as a function of the different doses *of Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* subsp. *plantarum* FZB42. Muzambinho – MG, 2017/18.

Dose (mL kg ⁻¹ seed)	CP (%)	ASH (%)	EE (%)	CF (%)	FND (%)	FDA (%)
0.0	4.99	3.46	2.03	21.26	39.59	22.16
2.5	5.19	3.6	1.79	19.04	47.65	21.38
5.0	4.67	3.86	1.79	21.59	41.79	22.20
7.5	5.07	3.46	1.85	18.73	38.29	19.78
10.0	5.13	3.45	2.10	18.45	40.77	19.16
12.5	5.20	3.39	2.24	18.60	40.18	25.37
F (%)	0.4952 ^{ns}	0.8560 ^{ns}	0.6100 ^{ns}	0.3433 ^{ns}	0.6798 ^{ns}	0.3675 ^{ns}
CV (%)	8.18	16.66	22.09	13.18	20.04	18.87

Means followed by the same letter do not differ among themselves by Tukey test at 5% probability; ^{ns} Not significant.

technical support for conducting the experiment. To the Cooperativa Escola dos Alunos da Escola Agrotécnica Federal de Muzambinho (Cooperative School of Students of the Federal Agrotechnical School of Muzambinho – COOPAM), for financial support. To the teachers who contributed in every way to the development of this work and the Grupo de Estudos em Agropecuária (Agricultural Studies Group) for all technical support.

References

AFOLABI, C. G; OJIAMBO, P. S.; EKPO, E. J. A.; MENKIR, A.; BANDYOPADHYAY, R. Evaluation of maize inbred lines for resistance to *Fusarium* ear rot and fumonisin accumulation in grain in tropical Africa. **Plant Disease**, v. 91, n. 3, p. 279-286, 2007. http://dx.doi.org/10.1094/ PDIS-91-3-0279

ANDREOTTI, M.; LODO, B. N.; BASSO, F. C.; PARIZ, C. M.; BUZETTI, S. Avaliação da eficiência agronômica do inoculante Rizofós contendo *Pseudomonas fluorescens* em cultivo de milho safrinha. *In*: REUNIÃO BRASILEIRA DE FERTILIDADE DO SOLO E NUTRIÇÃO DE PLANTAS, 28., 2010, Londrina. **Anais** [...]. Londrina: SBCS, 2008.

AOAC – Association of Official Agricultural Chemists. **Official Methods of the Association of the Agricultural Chemists**. 20. ed. Washington, 2016. 3172 p.

APARECIDO, L. E. O.; GASPAR N. A.; SOUZA P. S. de; BOTELHOT. G. Análise climática para a região de Muzambinho –MG. *In*: WORKSHOP DE PÓS-GRADUAÇÃO E PESQUISA DO CENTRO PAULA SOUZA, 9., 2014, São Paulo. **Anais** [...]. São Paulo: Jb, 2014. p. 97-104.

ASSIS, F. B. de; BASSO, F. C.; LARA, E. C.; RAPOSO, E.; BERTIPAGLIA, L. M. A.; FERNANDES, L. de O.; RABELO, C. H. S.; REIS,

R. A. Caracterização agronômica e bromatológica de híbridos de milho para silagem. **Semina**: Ciências Agrárias, v. 35, n. 6, p. 2869-2882, 2014. Disponível em: https://ojs.uel.br/revistas/ uel/index.php/semagrarias/article/view/10912 Acesso em: 04 nov. 2021.

BALBINOT, W. G. **Inoculação de Bacillus sp. na cultura do milho (Zea mays L.) como promotor de crescimento**. 2018. 48 p. Trabalho de Conclusão de Curso (Bacharel em Agronomia) – Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina, Campus Curitibanos, Curitibanos, 2018.

BORRISS, R. CHEN, X. H.; RUECHERT. C.; BLOM, J.; BECKER, A.; BAUMGARTH, B.; FAN, B.; PUKALL, R.; SCHUMANN, P.; SPRÊER, C.; JUNGE, H.; VATER, J.; PÚHLER, A.; KLENK, H. P. Relationship of Bacillus amyloliquefaciens clades associated with strains DSM7T and FZB42T: a proposal for Bacillus amyloliquefaciens subsp. amyloliquefaciens subsp. nov. and Bacillus amyloliquefaciens subsp. plantarum subsp. nov. based on complete genome sequence comparisons. International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology, v. 61, p. 1786-1801, 2011. Disponível em: https://www.microbiologyresearch.org/content/ journal/ijsem/10.1099/ijs.0.023267-0 Acesso em: 23 out. 2021.

CANTARELLA, H.; RAIJ, B. VAN; CAMARGO, C. E. O. Cereais. *In*: RAIJ, B. van; CANTARELLA, H.; QUAGGIO, J. A.; FURLANI, A. M. C. **Recomendações de calagem e adubação para o Estado de São Paulo**. Campinas: Instituto Agronômico de Campinas, 1997, 285 p. (Boletim técnico, 100).

CHEN, X. H.; KOUMOUTSI, A.; SCHOLZ, R.; EISENREICH, A.; SCHNEIDER, K.; HEINEMEYER, I.; MORGENSTERN, B.; VOSS, B.; HESS, W. R.; REVA, O.; JUNGE, H.; VOIGT, B.; JUNGBLUT, P. R.; VATER, J.; SÜSSMUTH, R.; LIESEGANG, H.; STRITTMATTER, A.; GOTTSCHALK, G. E BORRISS, R. Comparative analysis of the complete genome sequence of the plant growth promoting bacterium *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* FZB42. **Nature Biotechnology**, v. 25, n. 9, p. 1007-1014, 2007. Disponível em: https:// www.nature.com/articles/nbt1325 Acesso em: 23 nov. 2021.

CONAB. **Acompanhamento da safra brasileira**: grãos. Brasília: CONAB, v. 9, n. 12, set. 2022. 88 p. Disponível em: https://www.conab.gov.br/ info-agro/safras/graos Acesso em: 05 nov. 2022.

CUARTAS, C. A. R. **Exploring the relation** between plant phosphorus nutrition and growth promotion by *Bacillus subtilis/amyloliquefaciens* Strains. 72 f. Dissertação (Doutorado em Fisiologia) – University of Auburn, Auburn, 2010.

ENSMINGER, M. E.; OLDFIELD, J. E.; HEINEMANN, W. W. Composition of feeds. *In*: ENSMINGER, M. E. et al. (Eds.). **Feeds & Nutrition**. Clovis: Ensminger Publishing, 1990. p. 1265-1511.

FANCELLI, A. L. Ecofisiologia fenologia e implicações básicas de manejo. *In*: GALVÃO, J. C. C.; BORM, A.; PIMENTEL M. A. (Ed.). **Milho**: do plantio colheita. Viçosa: Editora UFV, 2015. p. 50-76.

FERREIRA, D. F. Sisvar: a computer statistical analysis system. **Ciência e Agrotecnologia**, v. 35, n. 6, p. 1039-1042, 2011. Disponível em: https://www.scielo.br/j/cagro/a/yjKLJXN9Kys fmX6rvL93TSh Acesso em: 04 nov. 2021.

FERREIRA, T. C.; LAGO, L. do; SILVA, L. G.; PACIFICO, M. G.; FARIA, M. R. de; BETTIOL, W. Potencial de *Bacillus* spp. em promover o crescimento e controlar *Fusarium verticillioides* em milho. **Summa Phytopathologica**, v. 47, n. 4, p. 195-203, 2021. Disponível em: https://www.scielo.br/j/sp/a/FF9ZvRPRXwH9Nr wMHG3WDvK/ . Acesso em: 02 dez. 2021.

FERREIRA, D. S. A.; LANGE, A. Avaliação da eficiência de aplicação de *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* (BAL) via foliar e no sulco de plantio na promoção de crescimento e parâmetros de produtividade do milho. *In*: SEMANA ACADÊMICA DE SINOP, 5. 2018, Sinop. **Sistema de Eventos Acadêmicos da UFMT**, Sinop, 2018.

FIGUEIREDO, M. do V. B.; SELDIN, L.; ARAUJO, F. F. de.; MARIANO, R. de L. R. Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria: fundament als and applications. In: MAHESHWARI, D. (eds). **Plant Growth and Health Promoting Bacteria**. Microbiology Monographs, v. 18. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg. 2010.

FREITAS, S. S.; PIZZINATTO, M. A. Ação de rizobactérias sobre a incidência de *Colletotrichum gossypii* e promoção de crescimento em plântulas de algodoeiro (*Gossypium hirsutum*). **Summa Phytopathologica**, v. 23, n. 1, p. 36-41, 1997.

GASPARETO, R. N. Formas de inoculação com bactérias promotoras de crescimento na nutrição e desempenho agronômico de milho no cerrado. 2018. 82 f. Dissertação (Mestrado em Agronomia, Área de Concentração: Sistema de Produção) – Universidade Estadual Paulista, Faculdade de Engenharia de Ilha Solteira, Ilha Solteira, 2018.

IDRIS, E. E.; MAKAREWICZ, O.; FAROUK, A.; ROSNER, K.; GREINER, R.; BOCHOW, H.; RICHTER, T.; BORRISS, R. Extracellular phytase activity of *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* FZB 45 contributes to its plantgrowth-promoting effect. **Microbiology**, v. 148, p. 2097-2109, 2002. Disponível em: https://www.microbiologyresearch. org/content/journal/micro/10.1099/00221287-148-7-2097 Acesso em: 28 nov. 2021. IDRIS, E. E.; IGLESIAS, D. J.; TALON, M.; BORRISS, R. Tryptophan dependente production of indole-3-acetic acid (IAA) affects level of plant growth promotion by *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* FZB42. **Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions Journal**, v. 20, n. 6, p. 619-626, 2007. Disponível em: https://apsjournals.apsnet.org/doi/10.1094/ MPMI-20-6-0619 Acesso em: 28 nov. 2021.

KAMER, J. H. van de; GINKEL, L. van. Rapid determition of crude fiber in cereais. **Cereal Chemistry**, v. 29, n. 4, p. 239-251, 1952.

KÖPPEN, W. **Climatologia**: con um estúdio de los climas de La Tierra. México: Fondo de Cultura Economica, 1948. 478 p.

LIMA, F.; NUNES, L. A. P. L.; FIGUEIREDO, M. do V. B.; ARAUJO, F. F. de; LIMA, L. M.; ARAUJO, A. S. F. *Bacillus subtilis* e adubação nitrogenada na produtividade do milho. **Revista Brasileira de Ciências Agrárias**, v. 6, n. 4, p. 657-661, 2011.

MALAVOLTA, E.; VITTI, G. C.; OLIVEIRA, S. A. de. **Avaliação do estado nutricional das plantas**: princípios e aplicações. Piracicaba: Associação Brasileira para Pesquisa da Potassa e do Fosfato, 1989. 201 p.

MARCONDES, M. M.; NEUMANN, M.; MARAFON, F.; ROSRIO, J. G. do; FARIA, M. V. Aspectos do melhoramento genético de milho para produção de silagem. **Revista Brasileira de Tecnologia Aplicada nas Ciências Agrárias**, v.5, n.2, p.173-192, 2012. Disponível em: https:// revistas.unicentro.br/index.php/repaa/article/ view/1461 Acesso em: 04 nov. 2021.

NRC. **Nutrient requeriments of dairy cattle**. 8. ed. National Research Councill. Washington: National Academy, 2001. 381 p.

OLIVEIRA JÚNIOR, F. Acompanhamento da multiplicação *on farm* de bactérias promotoras

de crescimento e sua eficiência na cultura do milho em empresa de bioinsumos no oeste da Bahia. 41 f. Relatório (Graduação) – Universidade Federal Rural do Semi-árido, Curso de Agronomia, 2021.

PEREIRA, J. R. A. **Entendendo a qualidade da sua silagem**. 2016. Disponível em: http://www. pioneersementes.com.br/media-center/artigos/ 54/entendendo-a-qualidade-da-sua-silagem Acesso em: 09 nov. 2020.

PEREIRA FILHO, I. A.; BORGHI, E. **Sementes de milho**: nova safra, novas cultivares e contínua a dominância dos transgênicos. Sete Lagoas: Embrapa Milho e Sorgo, 2020. 59 p. (Documentos, 251). Disponível em: https://www. infoteca.cnptia.embrapa.br/infoteca/bitstream/ doc/1122744/1/Doc-251.pdf Acesso em: 05 nov. 2022.

PETRAS, S. F.; CASIDA, L. E. J. Survival of *Bacillus thuringiensis* spores in soil. **Applied and Environmental Microbiology**, v. 50, n. 6, p. 1496-1501, 1985. Disponível em: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC238 786/pdf/aem00146-0164.pdf Acesso em: 30 nov. 2021.

PONTES, L. **A cultura do milho forrageiro**. 2014. Disponível em: https:// associacaoagricoladailhadasflores.blogs.sapo. pt/a-cultura-do-milhoforrageiro-8172 Acesso em: 03 out. 2020.

QIAO, J.Q.; WU, H. J.; HUO, R.; GAO, X. W.; BORRISS. R. Stimulation of plant growth and biocontrol by *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* subsp. *plantarum* FZB42 engineered for improved action. **Chemical and Biological Technologies in Agriculture**, v. 1, n. 12, p.1-14, 2014. Disponível em: https://chembioagro.springer open.com/articles/10.1186/s40538-014-0012-2 Acesso em: 04 nov. 2021. SANTOS, H. G. dos; JACOMINE, P. K. T.; ANJOS, L. H. C. dos; OLIVEIRA, V. A. de; LUMBRERAS, J. F.; COELHO, M. R.; ALMEIDA, J. A. de; ARAUJO FILHO, J. C. de; OLIVEIRA, J. B. de; CUNHA, T. J. F. **Sistema brasileiro de classificação de solos**. *Online*. EMBRAPA: Brasília, 5. ed., ver. e ampl., 2018.

SILVA, D. J. **Análise de alimentos**: métodos químicos e biológicos. 2. ed. Viçosa: UFV, Imprensa Universitária, Viçosa, MG, 1990. 165 p.

STANIER, R. Y., DUODOROFF, M., ADELBERG, E. A. **Mundo dos micróbios**. São Paulo: Edgard Blücher, USP, 1969.

SZILAGYI-ZECCHIN, V. J.; MÓGOR, Á. F.; RUARO, L.; RÖDER, C. Crescimento de mudas de tomateiro (Solanum lycopersicum) estimulado pela bactéria Bacillus amyloliquefaciens subsp. plantarum FZB42 em cultura orgânica. **Revista de Ciências Agrárias**, v. 38, n.1, p. 26-33, 2015. Disponível em: https://revistas.rcaap. pt/rca/article/view/16865 Acesso em: 12 nov. 2021. VALENTE, J. O. Introdução. *In*: EMPRESA BRASILEIRA DE PESQUISA AGROPECUÁRIA. Centro Nacional de Pesquisa de Milho e Sorgo. **Milho para silagem**: tecnologias, sistemas e custo de produção. Sete Lagoas: EMBRAPA -CNPMS, 1991. p. 5-7. (Circular Técnica, 14).

VAN SOEST, P. J. **Nutritional ecology of the ruminant**. 2. ed. Ithaca: Cornell University Press, 1994. 476 p.